PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		C. F DOTGDE A/ALC					
W1.2101PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/050157	19.02.2004	28.03.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
Applicant	VOT CT 1 COUNTY						
KOENIG & BAUER AKTIE	NGESELLSCHAFT						
This report is the international prelir under Article 35 and transmitted to the		International Preliminary Examining Authority					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	11 sheets, include	ng this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:	*					
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of 12	sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the descrip	otion, claims and/or drawings which have been	amended and are the basis for this report and/or					
sheets containing re Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this Authority (see F	tule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
Box.							
b (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))					
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
related thereto, in compute Section 802 of the Adminis		lemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see					
4. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the	e renort						
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invo	entive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of un	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned scitations as	N 1						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report							
		•					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer	Authorized officer					
_							
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.	Telephone No.					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050157

Box	No. I		Basis of the report					
1.			o the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise er this item.					
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))							
		∐ r	ublication of the international application (Rule 12.4)					
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)							
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							
			ernational application as originally filed/furnished					
	\bowtie	the des	cription:					
		pages	1-46 as originally filed/furnished					
		pages*	received by this Authority on					
		pages'	received by this Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the cla	ims:					
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished					
			1-77 as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
	\square							
			awings:					
		sheets						
1		sheets						
		sheets	received by this Authority on					
		a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.	\boxtimes	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description, pages					
		\boxtimes	the claims, nos. 78-80					
		$\overline{\Box}$	the drawings, sheets/figs					
			the sequence listing (specify):					
		\Box	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, sinchave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
			the description, pages					
		\vdash	the claims, nos.					
			the drawings, sheets/figs					
			the sequence listing (specify):					
1_		Ш	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
*	If it	tem 4 aj	plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050157

Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion	with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questic	ons whether the claimed invention appared to the claimed in respect of:	pears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially
	the entire international application	
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10-12,15,16,23,3	32,46-48
because	::	
	the said international application, or the	e said claims Nos.
	relate to the following subject matter w	hich does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
		40 40 45 46 99 99 45 49
	the description, claims or drawings (incare so unclear that no meaningful opin	dicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 10-12,15,16,23,32,46-48 ion could be formed (specify):
	see supplemental	
	see supprementar	Silect
	the claims, or said claims Nos.	are so inadequately supported
	by the description that no meaningful	opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been	n established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequ Instructions in that:	nence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative
	the written form	has not been furnished
[does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form	has not been furnished
	are comparer readable form	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide at technical requirements provided for in	nd/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further det	ails.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050157

Box	No. IV	v	Lack of unity of invention
1.	\boxtimes	In resp	onse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		r	estricted the claims.
		⊠ p	paid additional fees.
		p	paid additional fees under protest.
		n	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.		This A	authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite plicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authori	ity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		compli	ied with.
	\boxtimes	not co	mplied with for the following reasons:
		see	e supplemental sheet
ŀ			
Į			
4.	. Co	nsequen	tly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	\boxtimes	all pa	arts.
		1	earts relating to claims Nos.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050157

			ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; planations supporting such statement								
1.	Statement										
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-9,	13,	14,	17-22,	24-31,	33-45,	49-77	YES
			Claims								_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)		Claims	1-9,	13,	14,	17-22,	24-31,	33-45,	49-77	_ YES
			Claims								_ NO
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9,	13,	14,	17-22,	24-31,	33-45,	49-77	YES
			Claims								_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. First invention, claims 1 to 59:
 - 1.1 Independent claims 1 and 49:
 - 1.1.1 Prior art:

Document DE-A-199 41 634, which is the closest prior art, discloses a device for storing printing cylinder packings, which has the features set out in the preamble of independent device claim 1 and the corresponding method features according to independent method claim 49.

1.1.2 Problem:

To provide a device and a method for storing at least two packings removed consecutively from the same cylinder of a printing press.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.1.3 Solution

The specific combination of all the features of the corresponding claims 1 and 49, especially the special arrangement of storage positions relative to each other according to the characterizing part of claim 1 and the feature corresponding therewith in the characterizing part of claim 49, is not described in or suggested by the prior art, and hence the above claims involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

1.2 Dependent claims 2 to 9, 13, 14, 17 to 22, 24 to 31, 33 to 45 and 50 to 59:

Dependent claims 2 to 9, 13, 14, 17 to 22, 24 to 31 and 33 to 45 define advantageous embodiments of a storage device for printing cylinder packings, each having all the features of independent claim 1, whereas dependent claims 50 to 59 define advantageous embodiments of the storage method and each have all the features of independent claim 49.

- 2. Second invention, claims 60 to 66:
- 2.1 Independent claim 60:
- 2.1.1 Prior art:

Document DE-A-43 22 027, which is considered the closest prior art, discloses a method for storing

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

exchangeable printing cylinder packings which has all the features of the preamble of claim 60.

2.1.2 Problem:

To provide a method for storing a plurality of exchangeable printing cylinder packings.

2.1.3 Solution:

The specific combination of all the method steps according to claim 60, in particular the use of the sensor and the guide element according to the characterizing part of claim 60 and the specific rotation position of the printing cylinder, likewise defined in the characterizing part of claim 60, is not described in or suggested by the prior art and hence an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3) is established.

2.2 Dependent claims 61 to 66:

Dependent claims 61 to 66 define advantageous embodiments of a method, each embodiment having all the features of independent claim 60.

PCT/EP2004/050157

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 3S(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 3. Third invention, claims 67 to 77:
- 3.1 Independent claim 67:
- 3.1.1 Prior art:

Document DE-A-199 41 634 is the closest prior art document and discloses a method for storing printing cylinder packings which has the features set out in the preamble of independent claim 67.

3.1.2 Problem:

To provide a method for storing a plurality of exchangeable printing cylinder packings.

3.1.3 Solution:

The specific combination of all the method features in the present claim 67, particularly the special use of a lifter according to the feature in the characterizing part of claim 67, is not described in or suggested by the prior art, and hence an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3) is established.

3.2 Dependent claims 68 to 77:

Dependent claims 68 to 77 define advantageous embodiments of a method for storing printing cylinder packings, each embodiment having all the features of independent claims 67.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box III.1

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Clarity:

- 1. The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claims 10 to 12, 15, 16, 23, 32 and 46 to 48 are not clear.
- 2. The features of claims 10 to 12, 15, 16, 23, 32 and 46 to 48, which concern a device for storing cylinder packings, relate to either a definition of the packings themselves (see claims 10 to 12, 23 and 32) or to components or arrangements of components of a printing press (see claims 15, 16 and 46 to 48) and not to the definition of the actual device for storing the packings on the basis of its technical features. Therefore, contrary to PCT Article 6, the intended restrictions are not clear from claims 10 to 12, 15, 16, 23, 32 and 46 to 48.

Supplemental Box

Continuation of:

Box IV.1

Lack of unity of invention

The Examining Authority has found that the international application contains several inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), that is to say:

I: Claims 1 to 59:

Storage of two cylinder packings one on top of the other in such a way that they are inclined towards the horizontal axis;

II: Claims 60 to 66:
Sensor for examining the attaching limb at the
trailing end;

III: Claims 67 to 77:
Lifting of cylinder packings during storage.

2. The reasons are as follows:

The shelf system for storing two cylinder packings on top of each other and vertically offset relative to each other in a position inclined towards the horizontal axis, according to the combination of essential features of the first invention contained in the two independent claims

Supplemental Box

1 and 49, does not automatically have a sensor for verifying the trailing packing end according to the essential feature of the second invention according to claim 60, and vice versa, just as a delivered cylinder packing is not necessarily lifted between a first and a second storage position according to the essential feature of the third invention according to independent claim 67, and vice versa.

Moreover, the special verification procedure of the second invention according to claim 60 likewise does not necessarily comprise the lifting process between two storage positions of the third invention according to claim 67, and vice versa.

3. Contrary to PCT Rule 13.1, there is no relationship in the form of a technical interdependence between the three inventions, expressed in all the independent claims 1, 49, 60 and 67 by identical or corresponding special technical features.